

Chun Lee COMPOSITION & RHETORIC II(ONLINE) (ENGL1302 41221S)

BC Survey - Results 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S), COMPOSITION & RHETORIC II

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) survey results for "COMPOSITION & RHETORIC II(ONLINE) (ENGL1302 41221S)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Chun Lee 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) COMPOSITION & RHETORIC II(ONLINE) (ENGL1302 41221S) No. of responses = 5



Survey Results

3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments n=5 av.=4.6 strongly disagree N/A were clear. md=550 dev.=0.5 The workload in this course was well distributed n=5 strongly disagree N/A throughout the term. av.=4.8 md=5 50 dev.=0.4 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=5 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.6 md=5 50 dev.=0.5 Assessments/exams were based on material n=5 strongly disagree N/A covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=4.6 md=5 group activities, etc. 50 dev.=0.5The instructor made learning relevant to my field of n=5 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.2 md=4 study, career, or degree. dev.=0.8 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=5 av.=4.6 strongly disagree N/A methods (examples: group discussions, student md=5 presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=0.5 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=5 strongly disagree N/A av.=4 assignments. md=4 50 dev.=1 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, n=5 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.8 md=5 treated students respectfully, and used appropriate language during class. dev.=0.4

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.	y disagree	50	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%	0%	N/A	n=5 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.
3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.	y disagree	50	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%	0%	N/A	n=5 av:=4.6 md=5 dev:=0.
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:										
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.	Dissatisfied	50	0%	0%	0%	60		0 %	Very Satisfied	n=5 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.
5.	Course Recommendation:										
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	ry Unlikely	50	0%	0%	0%	20	%	5	Very Likely	n=5 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.
7.	Student Demographics:										
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your degree or	certific	ate?								
	0 - 30 complete	ed credits								40%	n=5
	31 - 60 complete	ed credits								40%	
	61 - 90 complete	ed credits								20%	
	91 + complete	ed credits								0%	
7.2)	This course is instructed as:										
	a face-to-fac	e course								0%	n=5
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and online ins	struction)								0%	
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at a spec		\subseteq							20%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course materials at a	any time)								80%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:										
	5 or less cre	edit hours								40%	n=5
	6 - 8 cre	dit hours								0%	
	9 - 11 cre	edit hours								40%	
	12 or more cre	dit hours								20%	

7.4) My current major is:		
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0%	n=5
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	40%	
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	0%	
Associate of Science (AS)	40%	
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	20%	
Certificate in a technical area	0%	
^{7.5)} I am currently a high school student taking college courses.		
Yes	0%	n=4
No (100%	

Comments Report

6. Open Response:

- ^{6.1)} What are the strengths of this course?
- Analyzing poetry
- Course was easy to understand and follow because the instructions were clearly laid out.
- Learning about poetry terminology and short stories.
- The professor explain very well all the information in the module through videos.
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- None
- The possibility of reviewing module content after due date. Sometimes modules content information for essays and midterms.
- none
- ^{6.3)} Any further, constructive comments?
- none (2 Counts)